

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/833,349	04/12/2001	Oliver Gottschalt	A-2794	3798	
7.	590 11/21/2003	EXAMINER			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EVANISKO, LESLIE J		
Post Office Box Hollywood, FI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, ,			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		p.							
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/833,34	9	GOTTSCHALT ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	, /				
			Leslie J. E		2854	MW			
ہ ۔۔ Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state of reply within the set or extended period for reply to received by the Office later than three months a atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. io) days, a reply atutory period w will, by statute,	36(a). In no eve within the statu vill apply and wil cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08 O</u>	ctober 2003	<u>3</u> .					
2a)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ CI	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-22 is/are pending in the application.								
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4 and 12-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ CI	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ CI)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 7-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)□ CI	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□ CI	aim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/o	r election re	equirement.					
Application	Papers								
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by th	e Examine	r.						
10)⊠ Th	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/11/2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[] Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3. * See 13)□ Ack sinc 37 0 a) □ 14)□ Ack	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action showledgment is made of a claim for a specific reference was include CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign large moved the complete that the first sentence was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	documents documents of the prior onal Bureau on for a list or domesti d in the firs nguage pro or domesti	s have been shave been the certification of the cer	n received. In received in Application received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)). It is ideal to the copies not received in the specification or plication has been received and 135 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of 2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 5-11 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 12-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349 Page 3

Art Unit: 2854

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery et al. (US 5,947,028) in view of Leanna et al. (US 4,116,594). Montgomery et al. teach an imaging assembly comprising a printing plate and an imaging machine for setting an image on the printing plate, the printing plate being formed of a magnetically attractable material (i.e., steel), and the imaging machine including a magnetic cylinder for magnetically holding the printing plate firmly during setting of an image thereon are well known in the art. See column 1, lines 13-24 in particular. Although Montgomery et al. is silent with respect to the particular details of the magnetic drum and whether the magnetic material in the cylinder includes one of a permanent magnet and electromagnet, the use of a magnetic drum 14, 14', 14" having a plurality of permanent magnets along the surface for holding a printing/embossing plate 16, 16', 16" comprised of a magnetic material is well known in the art, as exemplified by Leanna et al. in column 5, lines 1-7 and Figures 3 and 15 in particular. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the cylinder of Montgomery et al. with at least one permanent magnet as taught by Welch, Jr.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349

Art Unit: 2854

et al. to provide a plate that is to be imaged to be releasably fastened along the entire circumference of the drum.

Furthermore, although Montgomery et al. is silent with respect to the particular details of the magnetic drum and whether the drum includes a register system for aligning the printing plate with U-shaped register cut-outs, note that Leanna et al. teach a magnetic printing/embossing drum for holding a magnetic plate including a plurality of register pins 57" for cooperating with U-shaped cut-outs 56" in the plate 16", as described in column 6, lines 49-53 in particular. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the cylinder of Montgomery et al. with a register system as taught by Welch, Jr. et al. to aid in positioning or registering the plate on the cylinder.

With respect to claim 7, note Montgomery et al. teach the use of clamps (both magnetic and mechanical) in combination with steel plates on magnetic drums in column 1, lines 22-24.

With respect to claim 8, note that Leanna et al. teaches a cylinder using magnets that are permanent magnets, as set forth in column 5, lines 1-7.

With respect to claims 9-11, to the extent that applicant has recited any particular structure of the various imaging machines recited, note that Montgomery et al. teach an imaging machine which can broadly be considered to be any one of a "plate-exposing", "plate-developing", or "plate-engraving" machine. See column 1, lines 13-24 in particular.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,349 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5 and 7-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Leslie J. Evanisko** whose telephone

.Application/Control Number: 09/833,349 Page 6

Art Unit: 2854

number is **(703) 308-0786**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Come Evander Leslie J. Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

lje November 20, 2003